

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai.

Institute code: EN 3447
E-mail: gmvedakit@gmail.comWeb: www.gmvit.com



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Two Days Workshop on Web Designing using PHP by Robokart.com and Innovation Cell, IIT Mumbai



Dated: 10/10/2017.

HOD 9/10/2017



G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code: EN 3447

E-mail:gmvedakit@gmail.comWeb:www.gmvit.com



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Date: 09/10/2017

Professional Activity Two Days Workshop on Web Designing using PHP

Program: Web Designing using PHP

Date:6th and 7th October 2017

Venue:Computer Lab

The backbone of a student's career is his college education. College is the place where a student lays the foundation of his professional career. And that is why every college is known for their successful Alumni and takes pride in them.

Robokart.com has been associated with most of the colleges in the country to add value to their student's education and to further enhance their professional career.

Robokart.com in association with Innovation Cell, IIT Mumbai has introduced their career oriented programs to students and conducted Two Days Workshop on Web Designing using PHPfor students and faculties of Computer Engineering, IT and EXTC departments.

Mr. Obaid Ansari, Workshop Trainer conducted this workshop to make students familiar with current IT industry trends and new technologies like PHP

Objectives of Program:

- To make students and faculties about IT industry latest trends and new technologies
- To inform about career oriented programs

To make a tie up with NIIT (MoU) for Faculty Development Program (FDP)

Workshop was well organized by Department of Computer Engineering in association of Robokart.com and Innovation Cell, IIT Mumbai under the chief coordinator Prof. P.R.Kunekar.

Faculty Coordiantor: Prof.S.K.Jayswal

Student Coordinators : Mr. Pranay Jadhav (BE Computer)

Mr. Rohit Jadhav (TE Computer)

Students benefited from session:

2nd, 3rd and Final Year students of Computer, IT and EXTC

Boggam Outcome:

students will now aware of technologies in industries like web designing using



G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code: EN 3447
E-mail:gmvedakit@gmail.comWeb: www.gmvit.com



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Inclusion:

- 1. Notice
- 2. Agenda
- 3. Report
- 4. Photos
- 5. Attendance Sheet

Prof.P.R.Kunekar (Wokshop Chief Coordinator)




G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code: EN 3447






Photos(Event Dated: 6th and 7th October 2017)



*Session



*Trainer of Program (Mr.Obaid Ansari, RobKart)



*Presentation




G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai.
Institute code: EN 3447

E-mail:gmvedakit@gmail.comWeb: www.gmvit.com



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING



*Presentation by Mr. Obaid Ansari





*Students

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

A.Y. 2018-19 (FIRST HALF 2019)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING **APTITUDE SESSION-II** ATTENDANCE RECORD

CD NO	ATTENDANCE RECOR	Ψ	
SR.NO.	NAME OF STUDENT	CLASS	SIGN
and the second	Graikasad Pradnya F.	B. Ecomp	(Por)
	Charan Snehal Sunil.	B-E	Blown .
	Jambekar Mrinal Madhukar	B'E Comp	Monce
	Manduskar Hemangi Mukund.	BE comp	tamangi
	Muatre Ishwazi H.	BE comp	Stu.
	Khaine Shreya Rajendra	BE comp	storage
	ratil Smuth Sweyakant	BECOMP	Smutt.
	Ramble Sneha Shahafi	BE Comp	Energy.
MORAL POR	Chavan Perganka Suryakant	BE Comp	Viyantes.
	Nakhawa Bhavesh Poadip	B.E (omp	Bhat.
	Jadhav Rohit Sudhir	BECOMP	Defalle.
	Parel Shweta Gajanan	Be comp	Fair-
	shirke vauhnour y.	Becomp	whike
	Surve Sanjana Fatte Showlique	Be comp	Ssurve
	Fatte Shadique	BE comp	1811.
	Bagare Hogeth	Bt comp	
	0 0 0		
2 The last			
Date:	04/2019		



FACULTY COORDINATOR HOD



5.

6.

7.

8

9,

10

11.

SHRI. GOPINATH MAHADEO VEDAK PRATISHTHAN'S

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code: EN 3447

E-mail:gmvedakit@gmail.com

Sonam R. Wayde

Pooja singh

Vadake Shamali Hemant

Web: www.gmvit.com

A.Y. 2017-18 (SECOND HALF 2017)



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING AND IT 2-DAYS WORKSHOP ON WEB DESIGNING USING PHP BY ROBOKART

	ATTENDANCE RECO	RD	(morn
SR.NO.	NAME OF STUDENT	6/10/2017	7/10/2017
	Paril Shweta Crajanan	Fatil	Faty_
2.	shirke vaishnyi.	whirke	Whirks
3.	mhatre Ishwari	1	- L
4	Mahil M Jain	Lintil	lahil

Donan

lughor!

Tushou



G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code: EN 3447

E-mail:gmvedakit@gmail.com


Web: www.gmvit.com

A.Y. 2017-18 (SECOND HALF 2017)



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING AND IT 2-DAYS WORKSHOP ON WEB DESIGNING USING PHP BY ROBOKART ATTENDANCE RECORD

SR.NO.	NAME OF STUDENT	6/10/2017	7/10/2017
1	Shueya. R. Khaine	smaye	Mury
2.	Snehel. S. Chavan.	anhavan.	Shaum.
3.	Nutan G. Makasi	Andraji.	Andoyi
4.	Sanjana P. Surve	Buever	Tours
5.	Chaitali R. Kshirsagar	Chartale	Charlah
6.	Shridi & Patel	CAND "	State
7.	Sheha & Kamble	Stamble	Schamble
8.	Briyanka S. Chavan	1 Dy	Paly.
9.	Ritesh. R-Gandly	Gowdly	Gardhi
10.	Pratik s. Suryawanshi	BANA.	BM
11.	Sural S. Khade	moderale	modele
12	Atish P. Panuade	Spanite	Sparuly
13	Bhavesh Nakhawa	Brak	Brok
14.	Nihan Nakhawa	Bkhows	-
(5.	Rohit Jadhay	Aparto	CONTRACTOR OF STREET AND ADDRESS OF STREET
16.	Ajaj Bhojr	Albhor	Blokent
17	Sharique fatte	214	Il is
\$8	Yeushnavi Pote		
区	tattami stessio		



G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai.

Institute code: EN 3447

E-mail:gmvedakit@gmail.com

Web: www.gmvit.com

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING AND IT

Date: 16/09/2017

To,

The Principal,

GMVIT, Tala-Raigad.

Subject: Permission to conduct Two-days workshop on Web Designing using PHP

Respected Sir,

I the undersigned Head of Computer Engineering Department want to conduct Two Days workshop on Web Designing using PHP by RoboKart Solution on 6th and 7th October, 2017 for students and faculties of Computer Engineering department of GMVIT, GMV Science College and Diploma College nearby us.

approved [w/ 16/09/2017.

Herewith attached proposal of Robokart for conducting workshop.

Thank You.

Yours Faithfully,

Prof.P.R.Kunekar

HOD, Computer



pankaj kunekar <kunekarpankaj 30@gmail.com>

PORTANT: NATIONAL WORKSHOP SERIES 2017-18 CSE/IT @ G. M. Vedak stitute of Technology, Raigad, Maharashtra.

ressages

/S 2016 - 17- By Robokart.com <arknrcindia@gmail.com>kunekarpankaj30@gmail.com

Thu, Sep 14, 2017 at 10:47 AM



Robokart.com presents National Workshop Series 2017-18

Respected Sir,

Greetings from Robokart !!!

We propose to conduct 2-days workshop cum training program for your department students on various technology at your college which will enable the students to be technically sound & confident.

NWS - 18 SCHEDULE:

Stage 1: 2 Days Training Program(Workshop)

Stage 2: Competition at college level

Workshop Topic	Fees	Sponsorship to your college
Android App Development (Advanced)	Rs.700/- per student	Rs.100/- per student
3D Game Designing using Unity	Rs.700/- per student	Rs.100/- per student
Big Data-Hadoop & Cloud Computing	Rs.700/- per student	Rs.100/- per student
Ethical Hacking	Rs.700/- per student	Rs.100/- per student
Internet Of Things	Rs.700/- per student	Rs.100/- per student
Website Designing using PHP /wordpress	Rs.700/- per student	Rs.100/- per student

pail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=aebd9fe67c&jsver=ujO6RgBCJN0.en.&view=pt&search=inbox&th=15e7f2cea85c5f34&siml=15e7ed29a6dc...

Please respond below with 1,2,3,4 or 5, where 1 and 5 explain THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE	
	ned.
THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE	
THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE	
- LE COURSE	
A. Were objectives of the course clear to you?	
B. The course contents met with your expectations	/N [
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	
C. The lecture sequence was well planned	2
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	
D. The contents were illustrated with	2
1. Too few examples 5. Adequate examples	
E. The level of course was	3
1. Too low 5. Too high	3
F. The course contents compared with your expectations	
1. Too theoretical 5. Too empirical	2
G. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	2
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	4
H. Will you recommend this course to your colleagues?	7
L. Not at all 5. Very strongly	2

THE CONDUCT OF THE	COURSE	
A. The lectures were clear and easy to	understand	
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	2
B. The teaching aids were effectively	used	
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	2
C. The course material handed out wa	as adequate	
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	3
D. The instructor encouraged interact	ion and were helpful	
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	3
E. Were objectives of the course realis	zed?	V N □
F.Pleasegive overall rating of the cour	rse	
90%-100%	60%-70%	
80%-90%	50%-60%	
70%-80%	below 50%	
Please comment on the strength of the	course and the way it was it was conducte	d.
It was new &	quite help helpful	
Please comment on the weakness of the	ne course and the way it was it was conduc	ted.
Too much th	explaination	
Please give suggestions for the improv	vement of the course.	
		Thank you

COURSE TITLE: PHP won DATES-6/10/17		
	VENUE Comp Lab-1	
Please respond below with 1,2,3,4 or 5, where 1 and 5 explained.		
THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE		
A. Were objectives of the course clear to you?		
3. The course contents met with your expectations	Y	
. Strongly disagree 5.Strongly agree		
. The lecture sequence was well planned	1	
Strongly disagree 5.Strongly	agree	
. The contents were illustrated with	1	
1. Too few examples 5.Adequate	examples	
The level of course was	1	
1. Too low 5.Too high	5.	
The course contents compared with your expecta	ations	
Too theoretical 5.Too empirical	1	
The course exposed you to new knowledge and		
Strongly disagree 5.Strongly agree		
Will you recommend this course to your colleag	ues?	
lot at all 5. Very strongly	1	

THE CONDUCT OF THE	COURSE	
A. The lectures were clear and easy to		
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	1
B. The teaching aids were effectively to	used	7
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	1
C. The course material handed out was	s adequate	
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	
D. The instructor encouraged interacti	on and were helpful	5.
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	A
E. Were objectives of the course realized F.Pleasegive overall rating of the course] /N
90%-100%		
80%-90%	50%-60%	
70%-80%	below 50%	
Please comment on the strength of the	course and the way it was it was conducted.	
The php wankshi	ep was conduted to	
learn new Thing	regarding PHP	
Please comment on the weakness of the	he course and the way it was it was conducted	
Le costa riles DV	of man not	
good, with	les tearing abil	
Please give suggestions for the impro-	vement of the course	y
	The course.	

wanting internet connections, well teaching Staff; Good Mic system,

Thank you

COURSE TITLE: PHP Workshop	
DATES- VENUE Com	p. Lab. I.
Please respond below with 1,2,3,4 or 5, where 1 and 5 exp	
THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE	
A. Were objectives of the course clear to you?	
B. The course contents met with your expectations	Y N
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	
C. The lecture sequence was well planned	2
Strongly disagree 5.Strongly agree	
D. The contents were illustrated with	1
1. Too few examples 5. Adequate examples	
E. The level of course was	
1. Too low 5. Too high	8 _3
F. The course contents compared with your expectations	est later in
1. Too theoretical 5. Too empirical	1
G. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	#10K5 9 15
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	2_
H. Will you recommend this course to your colleagues?	
1. Not at all 5. Very strongly	1
to a series trade to the grant his	C. KALW

THE CONDUCT OF THE COURSE	
A. The lectures were clear and easy to understand	Allerin S. Php.
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	1
B. The teaching aids were effectively used	
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	1
C. The course material handed out was adequate	
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	1
D. The instructor encouraged interaction and were helpful	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	1
E. Were objectives of the course realized?	YNI
F.Pleasegive overall rating of the course	
90%-100% 60%-70	%
80%-90% 50%-60%	
70%-80% below 50%	
Please comment on the strength of the course and the way i	
The workshop was related	PHP Designing
to learn new things.	<u> </u>
Please comment on the weakness of the course and the way	vit was it was conducted
the prof, was not interact	Hou Donney !.
f the don't know to employ	<u> </u>
Please give suggestions for the improvement of the	
PC/ Internet was not	hooking Donney
PC/ Internet was not need to improve Need to improve . Need to improve able to see,	prove projector were not

Scanned by CamScanner

Thank you

DATES- 6/10/2017	VENUE.	
Please respond below with 1,2,3,4 or 5, where 1 and 5 explained.		
THE DESIGN OF		
THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE		
A. Were objectives of the course clear to you?	y 🔀 ax	
B. The course contents met with your expectations	Y V/N	
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree		
C. The lecture sequence was well planned	3	
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly		
D. The contents were illustrated with	3	
1. Too few examples 5.Adequate		
E. The level of course was	3	
1. Too low 5. Too high	4	
The course contents compared with your expecta	ations	
. Too theoretical 5.Too empirical		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and		
Strongly disagree 5.Strongly agree		
. Will you recommend this course to your colleag	ues?	
Not at all 5. Very strongly		
	2	

Strongly disagree The teaching aids were effectively Strongly disagree The course material handed out were	5.Strongly agree 5.Strongly agree	3
1. Strongly disagree		
	5.Strongly agree	The second second
C. The course material handed out w		2
	vas adequate	
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	3
D. The instructor encouraged interaction	ction and were helpful	Nº 1
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	3
E. Were objectives of the course rea	lized?	v \\
F.Pleasegive overall rating of the co	urse	
90%-100%	60%-70%	
30%-90%	50%-60%	
70%-80%	below 50%	
Please comment on the strength of t	the course and the way it was it was cond	lucted.
Please comment on the weakness o	f the course and the way it was it was co	nducted
	- me course and the way it was it was co	naucica.
Please give suggestions for the imp		
leach using	evorting on inde	ustry
1-01		SECTION SECTION

Please respond below with 1,2,3,4 or 5, where 1 and 5	explained.
Particle can be I some!	A Second of the course of the
THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE	
A. Were objectives of the course clear to you?	
B. The course contents met with your expectations	Y /N
1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	
C. The lecture sequence was well planned	3
1. Strongly disco	
D. The contents were illustrated with	4
1. Too form	
E. The level of course was	3
1. Too low 5. Too high	In January
F. The course contents compared with your expectations	4
1. Too theoretical	
3.100 empirical	4
G. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices 1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree	
and a state of the	4
H. Will you recommend this course to your colleagues?	
1. Not at all 5. Very strongly	4

A. The lectures were clear and eas				200
Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	+16		
B. The teaching aids were effective	vely used			
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree			
C. The course material handed or	ut was adequate			
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree			
D. The instructor encouraged int	teraction and were helpful			L
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree			
E Warrahi et est	The state of the s	A SALES		Car.
E. Were objectives of the course			Y	/
F. Please give overall rating of t	the course			
90%-100%	60%-70%			
80%-90%	50%-60%			
70%-80%	below 50%			
Please comment on the strength	n of the course and the way it	was it was	conducted	Sales Sales
	about the cours			
Explained in nice	e way and very	well.		Stan San San
Please comment on the weakne				
Mose examples	to be included	and	explana	h
should be prov	ided offline.			Control of the
Please give suggestions for the	e improvement of the course.			
Provide i	written praterial	ΟV	soft co	٥
				The same

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala WORKSHOP/SEMINAR/COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

COURSE TITLE: PHP WOYK	shop.
DATES- 6 9 17	VENUE GMVIT

Please respond below with 1,2,3,4 or 5, where 1 and 5 explained.

THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE

	- COUNDE			
A. Were objectives of the cours	se clear to you?			
B. The course contents met wit	th your expectations	YW		
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree			
C. The lecture sequence was w		3		
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree			
D. The contents were illustrated		2		
1. Too few examples	5.Adequate examples			
E. The level of course was		3		
1. Too low 5.Too high	Lineage April 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2		
F. The course contents compare	ed with your expectations			
1. Too theoretical	5.Too empirical	0		
G. The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices				
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	R		
H. Will you recommend this course to your colleagues?				
1. Not at all 5. Very stron	ngly	2		

Shri. Gopinath Mahadeo Vedak Pratishthan's G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala WORKSHOP/SEMINAR/COURSE FEEDBACK FORM COURSE TITLE: Php. werkshop DATES- 6-10-2017 VENUE. Computer lab 1 Please respond below with 1,2,3,4 or 5, where 1 and 5 explained. THE DESIGN OF THE COURSE A. Were objectives of the course clear to you? YNV B. The course contents met with your expectations 1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree C. The lecture sequence was well planned 1. Strongly disagree 5. Strongly agree D. The contents were illustrated with 1. Too few examples 5. Adequate examples E. The level of course was 1. Too low 5. Too high The course contents compared with your expectations Too theoretical 5. Too empirical The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices Strongly disagree 5.Strongly agree Will you recommend this course to your colleagues? 5. Very strongly Not at all to deadling stills. PC & some net course loss respondi

THE CONDUCT OF T	HE COURSE			
A. The lectures were clear and ea	sy to understand			
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	-01 2 -1		
B. The teaching aids were effecti	vely used			
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	1		
C. The course material handed or	ut was adequate			
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	-1		
D. The instructor encouraged into	eraction and were helpful	MAN THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY		
1. Strongly disagree	5.Strongly agree	1		
E. Were objectives of the course		YNN		
F.Pleasegive overall rating of the	course			
90%-100%	60%-70%			
80%-90%	50%-60%			
70%-80%	below 50%			
Please comment on the strength of the course and the way it was it was conducted.				
the lux kshop was related to Php.				
Designing to k	earn bewthing	8		
Please comment on the weakness of the course and the way it was it was conducted.				
# the inshi	was not			
interacting properly.				
Please give suggestions for the in				
	as not so expe	signed		
He was not	be need to	engrica.		
his teaching	Skille	Toppore		
P.C & Internal	onis,			
or all all a	of working Properly	Thank you		

Reg.No.

DATE: 2019/17

G.M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TALA-RAIGAD

NATIONAL LEVEL WORKSHOP ON WEB DESIGNING USING PHP

REGISTRATION FORM-CUM-FEE RECEIPT

Registration Fee: Rs.600/-

NAME OF PARTICIPANT: Pooja Adya Singh

CLASS:

N

BE Comp

COLLEGE NAME: WMVIT

CONTACT:

9730362421

PARTICIPANT SIGNATURE

coordinator signature
(Rohit Jadhur)