Ref. No. GMVIT/2015-16/ 25-A

Date: 20/07/2015

Office Order:

Feedback Committee

Committee Members:

Sr. No.	Name of the Member	Designation in Committee	Contact No.	Signature
01	Prof.A.P.Patil (Principal)	Chairman	749722234	
02	Prof. Y. R. Galinde (Civil Dept.)	Member Coordinator	7756929294	*(signed)*
03	Prof. N. Salvithhal(Comp. Dept)	Member	9423241507	*(signed)*
04	Prof. S. D. Jadhav (EXTC Dept)	Member	7030336891	*(signed)*
05	Prof. A. R. Ghadge (Mech. Dept.)	Member	8600269416	*(signed)*
06	Prof. H. T. Shaikh (ASH Dept)	Member	9763887389	*(signed)*
07	Prof: P. Gurav (IT Dept)	Member	7715936126	*(signed)*

Prof.A.P.Patil
Principal

Copy to:

1. Academic Coordinator
2. Registrar
3. All HODs
4. All Members of Committee

SHRI. GOPINATH MAHADEO VEDAK PRATISHTHAN'S

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai.

At post & Taluka: Tala, Dist.-Raigad, Maharashtra-402 111

Academic Year 2015-2016

Ref. No. GMVIT/2015-16/ 25-A   Date: 20/07/2015

Office Order:

Feedback Committee

Committee Members:

Sr. No.	Name of the Member	Designation in Committee	Contact No.	Signature
01	Prof.A.P.Patil (Principal)	Chairman	749722234	
02	Prof. Y. R. Galinde (Civil Dept.)	Member Coordinator	7756929294	*signature*
03	Prof. N. Salvithhal(Comp. Dept)	Member	9423241507	*signature*
04	Prof. S. D. Jadhav (EXTC Dept)	Member	7030336891	*signature*
05	Prof. A. R. Ghadge (Mech. Dept.)	Member	8600269416	*signature*
06	Prof. H. T. Shaikh (ASH Dept)	Member	9763887389	*signature*
07	Prof. P. Gurav (IT Dept)	Member	7715936126	*signature*

Prof.A.P.Patil

Principal

Copy to:

1. Academic Coordinator
2. Registrar
3. All HODs
4. All Members of Committee

Ref. No. GMVIT/2016-17/490-B   Date: 08/08/2016

Office Order:

Feedback Committee

Committee Members:

Sr. No.	Name of the Member	Designation in Committee	Contact No.	Signature
01	Prof.A.P.Patil (Principal)	Chairman	749722234	
02	Prof. Y. R. Galinde (Civil Dept.)	Member Coordinator	7756929294	
03	Prof. G. Dorlekar (Comp. Dept)	Member	9552023550	
04	Prof. S. D. Jadhav (EXTC Dept)	Member	7030336891	
05	Prof. A. R. Ghadge (Mech. Dept.)	Member	8600269416	
06	Prof. S. N. Pardesi (ASH Dept)	Member	9158480960	
07	Prof. P. Gurav	Member	7715936126	

Prof.A.P.Patil
Principal

Copy to:

1. Academic Coordinator
2. Registrar
3. All HODs
4. All Members of Committee

Ref. No. GMVIT/2017-18/ 05-C   Date: 20/07/2017

Office Order:

Feedback Committee

Committee Members:

Sr. No.	Name of the Member	Designation in Committee	Contact No.	Signature
01	Dr. S. V. Patil (Principal)	Chairman	8983730426	
02	Prof. Y. R. Galinde (Civil Dept.)	Member Coordinator	7756929294	
03	Prof. K. R. Metha (Comp. Dept)	Member	9420298558	
04	Prof. S. D. Jadhav (EXTC Dept)	Member	7030336891	
05	Prof. A. R. Ghadge (Mech. Dept.)	Member	8600269416	
06	Prof. S. N. Pardesi (ASH Dept)	Member	9158480960	

Dr. S. V. Patil
Principal

Copy to:

1. Academic Coordinator

2. Registrar

3. All HODs

4. All Members of Committee

Ref. No. GMVIT/2018-19/ 199−B

Date: 13/08/2018

Office Order:

Feedback Committee

Committee Members:

Sr. No.	Name of the Member	Designation in Committee	Contact No.	Signature
01	Dr.N. Y. Patil (Principal)	Chairman	9823971427	
02	Prof. Y. R. Galinde (Civil Dept.)	Member Coordinator	7756929294	Galinde
03	Prof. S. S. Kasare (Comp. Dept)	Member	8983080519	
04	Prof. S. D. Jadhav (EXTC Dept.)	Member	7030336891	Jadhav
05	Prof. O. S. Patil (Mech. Dept.)	Member	7448227450	Ospatil
06	Prof. V. D. Pathan (ASH Dept)	Member	7350380386	Pathan

Dr. N. Y. Patil
Principal

Copy to:

1. IQAC Coordinator
2. Academic Coordinator
3. Registrar
4. All HODs
5. All Members of Committee

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala – Raigad

Academic Year 2015-2016
Faculty Feedback (by students) Analysis Final Sheet

**Department: MECHANICAL**   **Sem: III, V, VII**   **Date: 20/08/2015**

| Sr. No	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback (%)	Feedback grade	Student choice of faculty in %
01	Prof. Pimpale	SE	.AM-III	78.33%	V. Good	94%
02	Prof. M. G. Gadale	SE	TD	73.33%	V. Good	100%
03	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	SE	SOM	86.33%	Excellent	100%
04	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	SE	PP-I	95.66%	Excellent	100%
05	Prof. G. S. Makandar	SE	CAMD	73.33%	V. Good	100%
06	Prof. N. Salvitthal	SE	DBIRS	75.66%	V. Good	80%
05	Prof. L. V. Dhangar	TE	ICE	69.33%	Good	100%
06	Prof. S. M. Sanap	TE	MMC	73.33%	V. Good	96%
07	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	TE	PP-III	95.66%	Excellent	100%
08	Prof. R. S. Aralikatti	TE	TOM-II	68.33%	Good	84%
09	Prof. A. D. Kakade	TE	HT	66.66%	Good	100%
10	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	MD-II	92.66%	Excellent	100%
11	Prof. G. S. Makandar	BE	CAD/CAM	82.33%	Excellent	100%
12	Prof. L. V. Dhangar	BE	MUS	83.66%	Excellent	88%
13	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	PPC	86.66%	Excellent	100%
14	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	PPE	78.33%	V. Good	100%

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Prof. A. R. Ghadge
Mech. Dept. Coordinator

Prof. V. R. Galinde
Chief Coordinator

Prof. A. P. Patil
Principal

Date: 21.01.2016

To,
Prof. G. S. Makandar,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. Pimpale	SE	AM-III	V. Good	67	Good
02	Prof. M. G. Gadle	SE	TD	V. Good	46	Poor
03	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	SE	SOM	Excellent	58	Poor
04	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	SE	PP-I	Excellent	75	V. Good
05	Prof. L. V. Dhangar	TE	ICE	Good	64	Good
06	Prof. S. M. Sanap	TE	MMC	V. Good	84	Excellent
07	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	TE	PP-III	Excellent	91	Excellent
08	Prof. R. S. Aralikatti	TE	TOM-II	Good	60	Good
09	Prof. A. D. Kakade	TE	HT	Good	55	Poor

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Date: 17.03.2016

To,
Prof. G. S. Makandar,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
    You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	MD-II	Excellent	91	Excellent
02	Prof. G. S. Makandar	BE	CAD/CAM	Excellent	83	Excellent
03	Prof. L. V. Dhangar	BE	MUS	Excellent	99	Excellent
04	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	PPC	Excellent	94	Excellent
05	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	PPE	V. Good	96	Excellent

    On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

    The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala – Raigad

Academic Year 2015-2016
Faculty Feedback (by students) Analysis Final Sheet
### Department: MECHANICAL Sem: IV, VI, VIII   Date: 27/03/2016

| Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback (%)	Feedback grade	Student choice of faculty in %
01	Prof. R. Tamhankar	SE	AM-IV	74.33%	V. Good	100%
02	Prof. P. M. Autade	SE	FM	74.33%	V. Good	100%
03	Prof. A. D. Kakade	SE	TOM-I	96.66%	Excellent	100%
04	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	SE	PP-II	91.33%	Excellent	100%
05	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	MT	66.66%	Good	100%
06	Prof. K. S. Londhe	SE	IE	76.33%	V. Good	90%
07	Prof. M. M. Badadre	TE	MQE	94.33%	Excellent	100%
08	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	TE	MD-I	96.66%	Excellent	100%
09	Prof. S. M. Kamat	TE	MV	91.33%	Excellent	100%
10	Prof. J. G. Bhagat	TE	TFPE	96.66%	Excellent	100%
11	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MTRX	91.33%	Excellent	100%
12	Prof. H. Kalyankar	TE	MTRX	66.66%	Good	90%
13	Prof. G. S. Makandar	TE	FEA	66.66%	Good	100%
14	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	DMS	94.33%	Excellent	100%
15	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	IEM	96.66%	Excellent	100%
16	Prof. M. M. Badadare	BE	RAC	74.33%	V. Good	100%
17	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	RES	76.33%	V. Good	100%
18	Prof. G. S. Makandar	BE	AE	76.33%	V. Good	90%

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Prof. A. R. Ghadge
Mech. Dept. Coordinator

Prof. Y. R. Galinde
Chief Coordinator

Prof. A. P. Patil
Principal

Date: 09.07.2016

To,
Prof. G. S. Makandar,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject; Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,

You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. R. Tamhankar	SE	AM-IV	V. Good	70%	V. Good
02	Prof. P. M. Autade	SE	FM	V. Good	59%	Poor
03	Prof. A. D. Kakade	SE	TOM-I	Excellent	65%	Good
04	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	SE	PP-II	Excellent	91%	Excellent
05	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	MT	Good	82%	Excellent
06	Prof. K. S. Londhe	SE	IE	V. Good	85%	Excellent
07	Prof. M. M. Badadre	TE	MQE	Excellent	95%	Excellent
08	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	TE	MD-I	Excellent	90%	Excellent
09	Prof. S. M. Kamat	TE	MV	Excellent	69%	Good
10	Prof. J. G. Bhagat	TE	TFPE	Excellent	91%	Excellent
11	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MTRX	Excellent	71%	V. Good
12	Prof. H. Kalyankar	TE	MTRX	Good	71%	V. Good
13	Prof. G. S. Makandar	TE	FEA	Good	80%	Excellent

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Date: 08.08.2016

To,
Prof. G. S. Makandar,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
      You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	DMS	Excellent	92.75%	Excellent
02	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	IEM	Excellent	91.30%	Excellent
03	Prof. M. M. Badadare	BE	RAC	V. Good	86.96%	Excellent
04	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	RES	V. Good	100%	Excellent
05	Prof. G. S. Makandar	BE	AE	V. Good	98.55%	Excellent

      On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

      The faculty members having poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala – Raigad

Academic Year 2016-2017
**Faculty Feedback (by students) Analysis Final Sheet**
**Department: MECHANICAL   Sem: III, V, VII   Date: 15/09/2016**

| Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback (%)	Feedback grade	Student choice of faculty in %
01	Prof. R. R. Tahmankar	SE	AM-III	78.33%	V. Good	90%
02	Prof. M. M. Badadare	SE	TD	71.99%	V. Good	100%
03	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	SE	SOM	84.56%	Excellent	100%
04	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	SE	PP-I	90.55%	Excellent	100%
05	Prof. G. J. Bhagat	TE	ICE	76.33%	V. Good	100%
06	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MMC	76.33%	V. Good	100%
07	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	TE	PP-III	90.00%	Excellent	100%
08	Prof. M. M. Badadare	TE	TOM-II	74.33%	V. Good	100%
09	Prof. A. D. Kakade	TE	HT	78.33%	V. Good	90%
10	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	MD-II	95.45%	Excellent	100%
11	Prof. G. S. Makandar	BE	CAD/CAM	77.77%	V. Good	94%
12	Prof. P. M. Autade	BE	MUS	91.25%	Excellent	100%
13	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	PPC	93.33%	Excellent	100%
14	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	PPE	75.26%	V. Good	94%

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Prof. A. R. Ghadge
Mech. Dept. Coordinator

Prof. Y. R. Galinde
Chief Coordinator

Prof. A. P. Patil
Principal

Date: 25.01.2017

To,
Prof. G. S. Makandar,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
    You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. R. R. Tahmankar	SE	AM-III	V. Good	71.43%	V. Good
02	Prof. M. M. Badadare	SE	TD	V. Good	51.39%	Poor
03	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	SE	SOM	Excellent	79.45%	V. Good
04	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	SE	PP-I	Excellent	86.30%	Excellent
05	Prof. G. J. Bhagat	TE	ICE	V. Good	71.62%	V. Good
06	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MMC	V. Good	81.82%	Excellent
07	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	TE	PP-III	Excellent	70.51%	V. Good
08	Prof. M. M. Badadare	TE	TOM-II	V. Good	61.54%	Good
09	Prof. A. D. Kakade	TE	HT	V. Good	69.44%	Good

    On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

    The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%

2. Very good: 70% to 80%
4. Poor: Below 60%

To,
Prof. G. S. Makandar,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	MD-II	Excellent	81.25%	Excellent
02	Prof. G. S. Makandar	BE	CAD/CAM	V. Good	85.93%	Excellent
03	Prof. P. M. Autade	BE	MUS	Excellent	100%	Excellent
04	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	PPC	Excellent	95.31%	Excellent
05	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	PPE	V. Good	90.62%	Excellent

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala – Raigad

Academic Year 2016-2017
Faculty Feedback (by students) Analysis Final Sheet
**Department: MECHANICAL Sem: IV, VI, VIII**   **Date: 17/03/2016**

| Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback (%)	Feedback grade	Student choice of faculty in %
01	Prof. R. Tamhankar	SE	AM-IV	79.33%	V. Good	100%
02	Dr. S. V. Patil	SE	FM	85.63%	Excellent	100%
03	Prof. J. G. Bhagat	SE	FM	90.90%	Excellent	100%
04	Prof. A. D. Kakade	SE	TOM-I	91.15%	Excellent	100%
05	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	SE	PP-II	93.93%	Excellent	100%
06	Prof. M. M. Badadare	SE	MT	79.79%	V. Good	100%
07	Prof. K. S. Londhe	SE	IE	78.73%	V. Good	100%
08	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MQE	97.42%	Excellent	100%
09	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	TE	MD-I	79.79%	V. Good	100%
10	Prof. S. M. Kamat	TE	MV	78.73%	V. Good	100%
11	Prof. J. G. Bhagat	TE	TFPE	97.42%	Excellent	100%
12	Prof. P. M. Autade	TE	MTRX	97.42%	Excellent	100%
13	Prof. Patekar	TE	MTRX	69.69%	Good	100%
14	Prof. G. S. Makandar	TE	FEA	94.33%	Excellent	100%
15	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	DMS	86.33%	Excellent	100%
16	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	IEM	86.33%	Excellent	100%
17	Prof. M. M. Badadare	BE	RAC	78.73%	V. Good	100%
18	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	RES	79.79%	V. Good	100%
19	Prof. G. S. Makandar	BE	AE	75.33%	V. Good	100%

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Prof. A. R. Ghadge
Mech. Dept. Coordinator

Prof. Y. R. Galinde
Chief Coordinator

Prof. A. P. Patil
Principal

To,
Prof. P. M. Dhongadge,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,

You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. R. Tamhankar	SE	AM-IV	V. Good	84.51%	Excellent
02	Dr. S. V. Patil	SE	FM	Excellent	85.92%	Excellent
03	Prof. J. G. Bhagat	SE	FM	Excellent	85.92%	Excellent
04	Prof. A. D. Kakade	SE	TOM-I	Excellent	56.16%	Poor
05	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	SE	PP-II	Excellent	97.22%	Excellent
06	Prof. M. M. Badadare	SE	MT	V. Good	81.94%	Excellent
07	Prof. K. S. Londhe	SE	IE	V. Good	71.23%	V. Good
08	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MQE	Excellent	92.31%	Excellent
09	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	TE	MD-I	V. Good	94.81%	Excellent
10	Prof. S. M. Kamat	TE	MV	V. Good	62.03%	Good
11	Prof. J. G. Bhagat	TE	TFPE	Excellent	93.59%	Excellent
12	Prof. P. M. Autade	TE	MTRX	Excellent	76.92%	V. Good
13	Prof. Patekar	TE	MTRX	Good	76.92%	V. Good
14	Prof. G. S. Makandar	TE	FEA	Excellent	48.05%	Poor

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Date: 24.08.2017

To,
Prof. P. M. Dhongadge,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	DMS	Excellent	89.06%	Excellent
02	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	IEM	Excellent	89.06%	Excellent
03	Prof. M. M. Badadare	BE	RAC	V. Good	84.38%	Excellent
04	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	RES	V. Good	90.00%	Excellent
05	Prof. G. S. Makandar	BE	AE	V. Good	95.45%	Excellent

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala – Raigad

Academic Year 2017-2018
Faculty Feedback (by students) Analysis Final Sheet
Department: MECHANICAL   Sem: III, V, VII   Date: 14/09/2016

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback (%)	Feedback grade	Student choice of faculty in %
01	Prof. N. B. Nalawade	SE	AM-III	89.99%	Excellent	100%
02	Prof. O. S. Patil	SE	TD	79.98%	V. Good	100%
03	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	SE	SOM	85.21%	Excellent	100%
04	Prof. A. A. Khot	SE	PP-I	84.77%	Excellent	100%
05	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	MT	75.75%	V. Good	100%
06	Prof. G. J. Bhagat	TE	ICE	75.75%	V. Good	100%
07	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MMC	74.33%	V. Good	100%
08	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	TE	PP-III	86.66%	Excellent	100%
09	Prof. M. L. Surve	TE	TOM-II	76.33%	V. Good	100%
10	Prof. O. S. Patil	TE	HT	78.33%	V. Good	100%
11	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	MD-II	89.87%	Excellent	100%
12	Prof. A. A. Khot	BE	CAD/CAM	76.66%	V. Good	100%
13	Prof. P. M. Autade	BE	MUS	90.90%	Excellent	100%
14	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	PPC	94.89%	Excellent	100%
15	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	PPE	77.66%	V. Good	100%

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Prof. A. R. Ghadge
Mech. Dept. Coordinator

Prof. Y. R. Galinde
Chief Coordinator

Dr.S. V. Patil
Principal

Date: 05.01.2018

To,
Prof. P. M. Dhongade,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,

You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

| Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. N. B. Nalawade	SE	AM-III	Excellent	28.21%	Poor
02	Prof. O. S. Patil	SE	TD	V. Good	48.72%	Poor
03	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	SE	SOM	Excellent	65.38%	V. Good
04	Prof. A. A. Khot	SE	PP-I	Excellent	74.36%	V. Good
05	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	MT	V. Good	70.51%	V. Good
06	Prof. G. J. Bhagat	TE	ICE	V. Good	80.00%	Excellent
07	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MMC	V. Good	63.75%	V. Good
08	Prof. P. M. Dhongade	TE	PP-III	Excellent	81.25%	Excellent
09	Prof. M. L. Surve	TE	TOM-II	V. Good	52.50%	Poor
10	Prof. O. S. Patil	TE	HT	V. Good	61.25%	V. Good

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

To,
Prof. P. M. Dhongade,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	MD-II	Excellent	68.83%	Good
02	Prof. A. A. Khot	BE	CAD/CAM	V. Good	71.43%	V. Good
03	Prof. P. M. Autade	BE	MUS	Excellent	88.31%	Excellent
04	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	PPC	Excellent	79.22%	V. Good
05	Prof. A. D. Kakade	BE	PPE	V. Good	75.32%	V. Good

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala – Raigad

Academic Year 2017-2018
Faculty Feedback (by students) Analysis Final Sheet
Department: MECHANICALSem: IV, VI, VIII   Date: 20/03/2018

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback (%)	Feedback grade	Student choice of faculty in %
01	Prof. N. B. Nalawade	SE	AM-IV	79.33%	V. Good	100%
02	Prof. M. L. Surve	SE	FM	91.15%	Excellent	100%
03	Prof. M. L. Surve	SE	KOM	91.15%	Excellent	100%
04	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	PP-II	93.93%	Excellent	100%
05	Prof. K. S. Londhe	SE	IE	78.73%	V. Good	100%
06	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MQE	97.42%	Excellent	100%
07	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	TE	MD-I	89.79%	Excellent	100%
08	Prof. S. M. Kamat	TE	MV	78.73%	V. Good	100%
09	Prof. J. G. Bhagat	TE	TFPE	97.42%	Excellent	100%
10	Prof. P. M. Autade	TE	MTRX	97.42%	Excellent	100%
11	Prof. A. A. Khot	TE	FEA	94.33%	Excellent	100%
12	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	DMS	86.33%	Excellent	100%
13	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	IEM	86.33%	Excellent	100%
14	Prof. O. S. Patil	BE	RAC	90.73%	Excellent	100%
15	Prof. O. S. Patil	BE	RES	100%	Excellent	100%
16	Prof. P. A. Sardar	BE	AE	75.33%	V. Good	100%

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Prof. A. R. Ghadge
Mech. Dept. Coordinator

Prof. V. R. Galinde
Chief Coordinator

Dr. S. V. Patil
Principal

Date: 26.06.2018

To,
Prof. P. M. Dhongade,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

| Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. N. B. Nalawade	SE	AM-IV	V. Good	39.43%	Poor
02	Prof. M. L. Surve	SE	FM	Excellent	61.97%	Good
03	Prof. M. L. Surve	SE	KOM	Excellent	56.34%	Poor
04	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	PP-II	Excellent	78.87%	V. Good
05	Prof. K. S. Londhe	SE	IE	V. Good	70.42%	V. Good
06	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MQE	Excellent	83.78%	Excellent
07	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	TE	MD-I	Excellent	83.78%	Excellent
08	Prof. S. M. Kamat	TE	MV	V. Good	85.13%	Excellent
09	Prof. J. G. Bhagat	TE	TFPE	Excellent	83.78%	Excellent
10	Prof. P. M. Autade	TE	MTRX	Excellent	47.29%	Poor
11	Prof. A. A. Khot	TE	FEA	Excellent	39.18%	Poor

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Date: 26.07.2018

To,
Prof. P. M. Dhongade,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,

You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	DMS	Excellent	77.92%	V. Good
02	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	IEM	Excellent	87.01%	Excellent
03	Prof. O. S. Patil	BE	RAC	Excellent	88.31%	Excellent
04	Prof. O. S. Patil	BE	RES	Excellent	100%	Excellent
05	Prof. P. A. Sardar	BE	AE	V. Good	95.31%	Excellent

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala – Raigad

Academic Year 2018-2019
Faculty Feedback (by students) Analysis Final Sheet

Department: MECHANICAL **Sem: III, V, VII** **Date: 28/09/2018**

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback (%)	Feedback grade	Student choice of faculty in %
01	Prof. M. M. Patil	SE	AM-III	78.66%	V. Good	100%
02	Prof. O. S. Patil	SE	TD	75.33%	V. Good	100%
03	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	SE	SOM	75.33%	V. Good	100%
04	Prof. A. A. Khot	SE	PP-I	88.33%	Excellent	100%
05	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	MT	74.32%	V. Good	100%
06	Prof. G. J. Bhagat	TE	ICE	84.54%	Excellent	100%
07	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MMC	82.22%	Excellent	100%
08	Prof. N. Y. Patil	TE	PTD	71.14%	V. Good	100%
09	Prof. M. L. Surve	TE	TOM-II	75.26%	V. Good	100%
10	Prof. O. S. Patil	TE	HT	84.16%	Excellent	100%
11	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	MD-II	90.99%	Excellent	100%
12	Prof. A. A. Khot	BE	CAD/CAM	75.66%	V. Good	100%
13	Prof. P. M. Autade	BE	MUS	89.66%	Excellent	100%
14	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	PPC	91.33%	Excellent	100%
15	Prof. M. L. Surve	BE	PPE	94.33%	Excellent	100%

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Prof. O. S. Patil
Mech. Dept. Coordinator

Prof. Y. R. Galinde
Chief Coordinator

Dr N. Y. Patil
Principal

Date: 07.01.2019

To,
Prof. A. R. Ghadge,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,

You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. M. M. Patil	SE	AM-III	V. Good	54.54%	Poor
02	Prof. O. S. Patil	SE	TD	V. Good	96.96%	Excellent
03	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	SE	SOM	V. Good	72.72%	V. Good
04	Prof. A. A. Khot	SE	PP-I	Excellent	90.90%	Excellent
05	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	MT	V. Good	90.90%	Excellent
06	Prof. G. J. Bhagat	TE	ICE	Excellent	95.45%	Excellent
07	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MMC	Excellent	66.66%	Good
08	Prof. N. Y. Patil	TE	PTD	V. Good	78.79%	V. Good
09	Prof. M. L. Surve	TE	TOM-II	V. Good	45.45%	Poor
10	Prof. O. S. Patil	TE	HT	Excellent	80.30%	Excellent

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Prv. V. S. Shelke
Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Date: 12.07.2019

To,
Prof. A. R. Ghadge,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	MD-II	Excellent	90.90%	Excellent
02	Prof. A. A. Khot	BE	CAD/CAM	V. Good	79.22%	V. Good
03	Prof. P. M. Autade	BE	MUS	Excellent	83.11%	Excellent
04	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	PPC	Excellent	97.40%	Excellent
05	Prof. M. L. Surve	BE	PPE	Excellent	94.80%	Excellent

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

12-7

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
4. Poor: Below 60%

G. M. Vedak Institute of Technology, Tala – Raigad

Academic Year 2018-2019
Faculty Feedback (by students) Analysis Final Sheet
Department: MECHANICAL   Sem: IV, VI, VIII   Date: 15/03/2019

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback (%)	Feedback grade	Student choice of faculty in %
01	Prof. M. M. Patil	SE	AM-IV	84.18%	Excellent	95.45%
02	Prof. M. L. Surve	SE	FM	70.50%	V. Good	63.63%
03	Prof. N. Cahandgude	SE	KOM	51.73%	Poor	63.63%
04	Prof. I. N. Magdum	SE	PP-II	80.95%	Excellent	86.36%
05	Prof. K. S. Londhe	SE	IE	87.27%	Excellent	90.9%
06	Prof. S. K. Thakur	SE	DBIRS	91.55%	Excellent	95.45%
07	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MQE	74.90%	V. Good	3.33%
08	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	TE	MD-I	82.29%	Excellent	95.23%
09	Prof. A. A. Khot	TE	FEA	84.17%	Excellent	95.23%
10	Prof. O. S. Patil	TE	RAC	89.12%	Excellent	9761%
11	Prof. P. M. Autade	TE	MTRX	76.17%	V. Good	69.04%
12	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	DMS	90.35%	Excellent	97.91%
13	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	IEM	79.83%	V. Good	79.16%
14	Prof. O. S. Patil	BE	RAC	95.35%	Excellent	91.75%
15	Prof. A. A. Khot	BE	AE	88.58%	Excellent	89.53%

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Prof. O. S. Patil
Mech. Dept. Coordinator

Prof. Y. R. Galinde
Chief Coordinator

Dr. D. N. Jaiswal
Principal

Date: 28.06.2019

To,
Prof. A. R. Ghadge,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,

You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. M. M. Patil	SE	AM-IV	Excellent	62.50%	Good
02	Prof. M. L. Surve	SE	FM	V. Good	87.50%	Excellent
03	Prof. N. Cahandgude and Prof. M. L. Surve	SE	KOM	Poor	87.50%	Excellent
04	Prof. I. N. Magdum and Prof. A. A. Khot	SE	PP-II	Excellent	100%	Excellent
05	Prof. K. S. Londhe	SE	IE	Excellent	100%	Excellent
06	Prof. I. N. Magdum	TE	MQE	V. Good	92.06%	Excellent
07	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	TE	MD-I	Excellent	85.71%	Excellent
08	Prof. A. A. Khot	TE	FEA	Excellent	93.65%	Excellent
09	Prof. O. S. Patil	TE	RAC	Excellent	87.30%	Excellent
10	Prof. P. M. Autade	TE	MTRX	V. Good	79.37%	V. Good

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

Date: 12.07.2019

To,
Prof. A. R. Ghadge,
HOD Mechanical Department.

Subject: Feedback analysis report

Dear Sir,
You are hereby informed that the feedback of the staff members of your department and the result of the subject that they have taught is as below:

Sr. No.	Faculty name	Class	Subject	Feedback grade	Result	Remark of the result
01	Prof. A. R. Ghadge	BE	DMS	Excellent	97.01%	Excellent
02	Prof. S. M. Kamat	BE	IEM	V. Good	100%	Excellent
03	Prof. O. S. Patil	BE	RAC	Excellent	100%	Excellent
04	Prof. A. A. Khot	BE	AE	Excellent	98.50%	Excellent

On the behalf of the management, I congratulate and appreciate all those teaching faculties whose rating and feedback is Good and above. I wish that you will continue your remarkable performance in teaching curriculum and track record of good results as well in future.

The faculty members having Poor remark in result and / or feedback are hereby instructed to submit a report of the poor result and / or feedback mentioning the prevention measures to be taken by you to upgrade performance in result and feedback in future.

With best wishes.

Principal
G. M. V. I. T., Tala

Note:
1. Excellent: above 80%
2. Very good: 70% to 80%
3. Good: 60% to 70%
4. Poor: Below 60%

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to
University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report
Academic Year 2018-19

Online feedback was collect from stakeholders such as Students, Alumni, Faculty and Parents during once in semester after Internal Assessment Examination.Feedback collected on various parameters was analyzed and necessary action was taken.

Sr.no.	Stakeholder	Feedback Analysis	Action Taken
1	Students	1) Expert lecture should arrange for improvement of technical knowledge 2) Syllabus should be industry oriented	Expert lecture are arranged
2	Alumni	1)Passout students data should collect properly and analyzing well 2)Personality development courses should be implemented	Students data taken at time of convocation properly as well as development courses implemented
3	Parents	1)Faculty should inform parents to their ward performance and attendance regularly 2)college fees should reduce	Departmental Faculty informing students record such as marks and attendance by calling or messaging them,College fees reduced
4	Faculty	1)Administration put more effort for development of institute 2)Management should encouraged faculty to organize andparticipate workshop/training programme/seminars etc	Management encourage faculty for participating to STTP ,Development courses

Valuable suggestions given by stakeholder's improvement of college are as follows:-

- Hostel is not providing good facilities as required
- Reduce fees
- No internet
- Library facilities are satisfies well.
- Your non-teaching staff especially office staff is too much rude. Please teach to them for guiding student properly. Because student have already pressure of study.
- College is situated in area where there is lot of issues regarding mobile connectivity (network failure). So need better internet connections.
- Unhygienic hostel bathrooms
- please increase training and placements
- College should improve HOSTEL facilities
- All the facilities are good as per my son's reference except the some office staff's rude behavior to the students.
- No proper facilities provided in hostel .medical facilities needed to be improved in college. And college needs to focus on the counselling
- Canteen food quality should be improved.
- Suggest to improve transport system.

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to
University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

- College is good... Staff is also truly helping..
- Provide internet facilities and monthly class test is required
- Work Load on Students Must be reduced
- Decrease time schedule because student get depress in 8 hrs.
- Maximum number of placements required
- improve teaching method of each faculty
- Improved your training and placement facilities canteen facilities and sports department
- Hire professional management staff
- Industrial visit allowed in at least yearly one
- Need to create more understanding between staff n students
- fees not increase too much
- Need to improve communication with parent's
- Improvement should require in travelling facility.
- Please Give the Detailed information about my daughter in detail about her behavior and Performance in studies.
- As per my study extc department is quite good prehab excellent. I like to suggest the staff of the management of the collage. They demands lot of money form the students in name of the health insurance,transport,exam fees.., I think *mouth publicity is the good publicity* so you have to take care of it..
- All things are good
-

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to
University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

- Take serious action about students scholarship rejection 2016-17 ...
- No response from your faculty about scholarship rejection.. they told them to fill full fees of 2016-17 at 2018-19...They have not described the reason for rejection of scholarship form rejection 2016-17..They didn't show any rejected form... And asking for pay the fees of2016-17...Do the needful..!!
- Management of the college they demands lot of money from the students so have taken care of it.
- To get more focus on practical knowledge.
- College time have been 8:30pm to 3pm
- They shouldn't be so strict while examination
- Regarding transport if your bus haul at mahad at evening and leave morning .it is beneficial in terms of traveling expense
- Also get some social activities as like tree plantation, etc.. To feel them stress less or to teach them about nature and having some idea about their surroundings..
- Conduct workshop for students on latest technologies and programming language
- Please reduced tuition fees of open caste students as possible to you.
-
-

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to
University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

- Also don't involve to open caste students in your college development extra fees. Already they pay extra fees as compare to other.
- Give advance knowledge to students. Reduce the amount of fees.develope the lab equipment's. Encourage students to be self-confidant.
- Need to improve teaching quality, add some extracurricular activity, and provide some guidance about future career.
- Proper grounds for football and cricket should be developed. Electricity facility should be made.
- We trust you for the decisions you'll take for the betterment of students.
- No improvement .this clg is very good.. Teachers also well educated...

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

ALUMINI FEEDBACK REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 ODD SEM

(180 Responses)

SR. NO.	Question	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor
1	Math, Science, Humanitiesand professional discipline,(if applicable)	20	19	11	2	0
2	Problem formulation and solving skills	19	22	10	1	0
3	Collecting and analyzing appropriate data	14	28	9	1	0
4	Ability to link theory to practice	19	22	9	1	1
5	Ability to design a system component or process	16	23	10	3	0
6	IT Knowledge	18	16	13	5	0
7	Oral communication	18	16	14	3	1
8	Report writing	18	18	14	2	0
9	Presentation skills	20	18	11	3	0
10	Ability to work in teams	25	17	8	2	0
11	Ability to work in arduous /Challenging situation	18	24	6	3	1
12	Independent thinking	21	20	7	3	1
13	Appreciation of ethical Values	19	18	12	3	0
14	Resource and Time management skills	21	18	11	1	1
15	Judgment	17	20	11	4	0
16	Discipline	26	15	10	0	1

Alumini Feedback Responses

Feedback Coordinator   Dean Academics   Principal

d

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

Faculty feedback Report on I. Teaching, Learning, Evaluation & Research: ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 (ODD SEMESTER)

Sr.No.	Questions	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Strongly agree	Agree
Q1	1) The admission process adopted by the institute is effective.	0.00	11.76	20.59	20.59	47.06
Q2	2) The institute is able to attract meritorious students.	0.00	17.65	32.35	11.76	38.24
Q3	3) Student centered learning resources are available in the institute.	0.00	5.88	23.53	20.59	50.00
Q4	4) Faculty are updating their knowledge and skills.	2.33	2.94	11.76	23.53	58.82
Q5	5) The class work is taking place as per schedule.	0.00	0.00	5.88	58.82	35.29
Q6	6) Central Library is a major source of information.	2.33	0.00	17.65	26.47	52.94
Q7	7) The library is utilized optimally by the faculty.	0.00	0.00	14.71	29.41	55.88
Q8	8) The library is utilized optimally by the students.	0.00	2.94	20.59	26.47	50.00
Q9	9) The library is managed effectively	0.00	2.94	23.53	23.53	50.00
Q10	10) The timings of the Library are convenient.	0.00	0.00	11.76	32.35	55.88
Q11	11) The procedure followed for acquiring new books and journals ensures right titles and journals in the library.	0.00	0.00	32.35	29.41	38.24
Q12	12) The teaching aids in the department are sufficient and up to date	2.33	5.88	8.82	26.47	55.88
Q13	13) The teachers are supported with adequate learning resources.	0.00	8.82	11.76	41.18	38.24
Q14	14) The teachers are encouraged to carry out research.	0.00	11.76	14.71	17.65	55.88
Q15	15) The teachers are encouraged to organize seminars/workshops/conferences.	2.33	5.88	23.53	20.59	47.06
Q16	16) The teachers are encouraged to participate in seminars /workshops/conferences	4.65	2.94	8.82	17.65	64.71
Q17	17) The teachers are encouraged to undertake extension service programmes.	4.65	5.88	14.71	17.65	55.88
Q18	18) The teachers are encouraged to establish linkage with Industry.	4.65	0.00	26.47	17.65	50.00
Q19	19) The teachers are encouraged to take-up consultancy services	4.65	2.94	35.29	14.71	41.18
Q20	20) The merit of the teachers is recognized.	4.65	8.82	17.65	17.65	50.00
Q21	21) The examination system followed by the University is effective.	2.33	2.94	5.88	38.24	50.00
Q22	22) The evaluation system followed by the University is effective.	4.65	0.00	8.82	35.29	50.00

Faculty feedback Report on I. Teaching, Learning, Evaluation & Research

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14	Q15	Q16	Q17	Q18	Q19	Q20	Q21	Q22
Strongly disagree	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.33	0.00	2.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.33	0.00	0.00	2.33	4.65	4.65	4.65	4.65	4.65	2.33	4.65
Disagree	11.76	17.65	5.88	2.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.94	2.94	0.00	0.00	5.88	8.82	11.76	5.88	2.94	5.88	0.00	2.94	8.82	2.94	0.00
Neutral	20.59	32.35	23.53	11.76	5.88	17.65	14.71	20.59	23.53	11.76	32.35	8.82	11.76	14.71	23.53	8.82	14.71	26.47	35.29	17.65	5.88	8.82
Strongly agree	20.59	11.76	20.59	23.53	58.82	26.47	29.41	26.47	23.53	32.35	29.41	26.47	41.18	17.65	20.59	17.65	17.65	17.65	14.71	17.65	38.24	35.29
Agree	47.06	38.24	50.00	58.82	35.29	52.94	55.88	50.00	50.00	55.88	38.24	55.88	38.24	55.88	47.06	64.71	55.88	50.00	41.18	50.00	50.00	50.00

Feedback co-ordinator

Dean Academics

Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

Faculty feedback Report on II. Infrastructure: ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 (ODD SEMESTER)

Sr.No.	Questions	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Strongly agree	Agree
Q1	1)The class rooms and furniture available are adequate.	0.00	0.00	5.88	44.12	50.00
Q2	2)The toilets are sufficient for faculty and students.	2.33	0.00	5.88	47.06	44.12
Q3	3)The buildings and furniture are well maintained.	0.00	2.94	14.71	38.24	44.12
Q4	4)The labs are adequately equipped (wherever applicable).	2.33	2.94	17.65	35.29	41.18
Q5	5) Parking facilities are available adequately.	2.33	0.00	5.88	35.29	55.88
Q6	6) Roads are maintained well	0.00	0.00	2.94	41.18	55.88
Q7	7)Water resources are adequately provided.	2.33	2.94	23.53	26.47	44.12
Q8	8) Safe drinking water is available	4.65	5.88	23.53	20.59	44.12
Q9	9) Sports infrastructure is adequate.	2.33	11.76	26.47	20.59	38.24

Faculty feedback on II. Infrastructure

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9
Strongly disagree	0.00	2.33	0.00	2.33	2.33	0.00	2.33	4.65	2.33
Disagree	0.00	0.00	2.94	2.94	0.00	0.00	2.94	5.88	11.76
Neutral	5.88	5.88	14.71	17.65	5.88	2.94	23.53	23.53	26.47
Strongly agree	44.12	47.06	38.24	35.29	35.29	41.18	26.47	20.59	20.59
Agree	50.00	44.12	44.12	41.18	55.88	55.88	44.12	44.12	38.24

Feedback coordinator

Dean Academics

Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

Faculty feedback Report on III. Governance: ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 (ODD SEMESTER)

Sr.No.	Questions	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Strongly agree	Agree
Q1	1) The administration is sincerely putting efforts for the development of the institution.	9.30	8.82	23.53	17.65	38.24
Q2	2) The administration is accessible.	4.65	2.94	23.53	20.59	47.06
Q3	3) The quality initiatives taken up during the last academic year are contributing for improvement.	6.98	2.94	20.59	20.59	47.06
Q4	4) The MoUs entered by institute enhance the scope for mutual cooperation with Institutions and Research	4.65	8.82	26.47	11.76	47.06
Q5	5) The faculty are given freedom to express their opinions.	6.98	0.00	14.71	20.59	55.88
Q6	6) The IQAC is working well for promoting quality in the institution.	2.33	2.94	20.59	14.71	58.82
Q7	7) Institute is providing adequate opportunities and support to the faculty and their family members.	9.30	0.00	29.41	20.59	38.24

Faculty feedback on on III. Governance

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7
Strongly disagree	9.30	4.65	6.98	4.65	6.98	2.33	9.30
Disagree	8.82	2.94	2.94	8.82	0.00	2.94	0.00
Neutral	23.53	23.53	20.59	26.47	14.71	20.59	29.41
Strongly agree	17.65	20.59	20.59	11.76	20.59	14.71	20.59
Agree	38.24	47.06	47.06	47.06	55.88	58.82	38.24

Feedback Co-ordinator

Dean Academics

Principal

PARENT FEEDBACK REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 ODD SEM
(180 Responses)

SR. NO.	Question	YES	NO
1	Are you satisfied with the student discipline of the college	180	0
2	Does your ward / Institute regularly informs you about performance	160	20
3	Are the faculty inform about your ward regularly	150	30
4	Are you satisfied with the examination system adopted in the institute	171	9
5	Are you satisfied with the quality of teaching offered by the college	162	18
6			

PARENT FEEDBACK REPORT

	Are you satisfied with the student discipline of the college	Does your ward / Institute regularly informs you about performance	Are the faculty inform about your ward regularly	Are you satisfied with the examination system adopted in the institute	Are you satisfied with the quality of teaching offered by the college
YES	180	160	150	171	162
NO	0	20	30	9	18

YES   NO

Feedback Coordinator   Dean Academics   Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

Students Feedback Report on Curriculum ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 (ODD SEMESTER)

Sr.No.	Questions	Exellent	Very Good	good	Average	Poor
Q1	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied are in sequence to what you have studied in the previous semester?	17.3	40.1	33.6	8.3	0.7
Q2	How do you rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course?	13.4	36.8	38.6	9.7	1.4
Q3	How do you rate the sequence of the units in the course?	17	32.1	40.4	9.7	0.7
Q4	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	16.2	33.9	41.9	7.2	0.7
Q5	How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the	13	35	35	14.8	2.2
Q6	How do you rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course?	16.6	31.8	37.2	12.3	2.2
Q7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?	16.2	30.3	39	11.9	2.5
Q8	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	15.9	32.1	37.2	11.9	2.9
Q9	Technological advancements?	17.3	31.4	38.3	8.7	4.3
Q10	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	14.4	31.8	34.7	14.8	4.3
Q11	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student	13.4	24.5	43.3	14.1	4.7

Students Feedback on Curriculum

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11
■ Exellent	17.3	13.4	17	16.2	13	16.6	16.2	15.9	17.3	14.4	13.4
■ Very Good	40.1	36.8	32.1	33.9	35	31.8	30.3	32.1	31.4	31.8	24.5
▩ good	33.6	38.6	40.4	41.9	35	37.2	39	37.2	38.3	34.7	43.3
▨ Average	8.3	9.7	9.7	7.2	14.8	12.3	11.9	11.9	8.7	14.8	14.1
■ Poor	0.7	1.4	0.7	0.7	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.9	4.3	4.3	4.7

■ Exellent   ■ Very Good   ▩ good   ▨ Average   ■ Poor

Feedback coordinator

Dean Academics

Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai Institute code : EN 3447

FACULTY FEEDBACK REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19
ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 (EVEN SEMESTER)

Sr.No	Question	Strongly agree	agree	Netural	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	The admission process adopted by the institute is effective.	24.0	44.0	32.0	0.0	0.0
Q2	The institute is able to attract meritorious students.	16.0	44.0	24.0	16.0	0.0
Q3	Student centered learning resources are available in the institute.	28.0	44.0	20.0	8.0	0.0
Q4	Faculty are updating their knowledge and skills.	40.0	44.0	16.0	0.0	0.0
Q5	The class work is taking place as per schedule.	72.0	24.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
Q6	Central Library is a major source of information.	32.0	40.0	24.0	0.0	4.0
Q7	The library is utilized optimally by the faculty.	36.0	48.0	12.0	0.0	4.0
Q8	The library is utilized optimally by the students.	24.0	48.0	16.0	12.0	0.0
Q9	The library is managed effectively	28.0	48.0	20.0	4.0	0.0
Q10	The timings of the Library are convenient.	24.0	64.0	12.0	0.0	0.0
Q11	The procedure followed for acquiring new books and journals ensures right titles and journals in the library.	32.0	36.0	28.0	4.0	0.0
Q12	Teaching aids in the department are sufficient and up to date	24.0	44.0	24.0	8.0	0.0
Q13	Teachers are supported with adequate learning resources.	28.0	56.0	12.0	4.0	0.0
Q14	Teachers are encouraged to carry out research.	20.0	60.0	16.0	4.0	0.0
Q15	Teachers are encouraged to organize seminars/workshops/conferences.	24.0	60.0	12.0	4.0	0.0
Q16	Teachers are encouraged to participate in seminars /workshops/conferences	20.0	56.0	20.0	4.0	0.0
Q17	Teachers are encouraged to undertake extension service programmes.	20.0	52.0	24.0	4.0	0.0
Q18	Teachers are encouraged to establish linkage with Industry.	16.0	60.0	16.0	8.0	0.0
Q19	The teachers are encouraged to take-up consultancy services	12.0	48.0	32.0	8.0	0.0
Q20	The merit of the teachers is recognized.	20.0	48.0	20.0	12.0	0.0
Q21	The examination system followed by the University is effective.	28.0	48.0	12.0	4.0	8.0
Q22	The evaluation system followed by the University is effective.	20.0	56.0	8.0	12.0	4.0

Faculty feedback Report on I. Teaching, Learning, Evaluation & Research

Feedback Coordinator

Dean Academics

Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

FACULTY FEEDBACK REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19
ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 (EVEN SEMESTER)
Faculty feedback Report on II. Infrastructure: ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19(EVEN SEMESTER)

Sr.No.	Questions	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Q1	1)The class rooms and furniture available are adequate.	36.0	52.0	8.0	0.0	4.0
Q2	2)The toilets are sufficient for faculty and students.	40.0	52.0	4.0	0.0	4.0
Q3	3)The buildings and furniture are well maintained.	36.0	48.0	4.0	8.0	4.0
Q4	4)The labs are adequately equipped (wherever applicable).	36.0	36.0	16.0	8.0	4.0
Q5	5)Parking facilities are available adequately.	44.0	44.0	8.0	4.0	0.0
Q6	6) Roads are maintained well	40.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	4.0
Q7	7)Water resources are adequately provided.	32.0	56.0	12.0	0.0	0.0
Q8	8)Safe drinking water is available	44.0	48.0	8.0	0.0	0.0
Q9	9) Sports infrastructure is adequate	24.0	52.0	20.0	4.0	0.0

Faculty feedback Report on II: Infrastructure

Feedback C   Dean Academics   Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

FACULTY FEEDBACK REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19
ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 (EVEN SEMESTER)

Faculty feedback Report on III.Governance : ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19(EVEN SEMESTER)

SR. NO.	Question	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1	The administration is sincerely putting efforts for the development of the institution.	20.0	48.0	32.0	0.0	0.0
2	The administration is accessible.	24.0	48.0	24.0	4.0	0.0
3	Quality initiatives taken up during the last academic year are contributing for improvement.	20.0	52.0	20.0	8.0	0.0
4	MoUs entered by institute enhance the scope for mutual cooperation with Institutions and Research Organizations of repute	12.0	52.0	28.0	8.0	0.0
5	Faculty are given freedom to express their opinions.	24.0	48.0	24.0	0.0	0.0
6	The IQAC is working well for promoting quality in the institution.	12.0	48.0	36.0	4.0	0.0
7	Institute is providing adequate opportunities and support to the faculty and their family members.	16.0	56.0	16.0	12.0	0.0

Faculty feedback on on III. Governance

Legend: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree

Feedback Coordinator   Dean Academics   Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

roved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

PARENT FEEDBACK REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 FH2019

(180 Responses)

SR. NO.	Question	YES	NO
1	Are you satisfied with the student discipline of the college	180	0
2	Does your ward / Institute regularly informs you about performance	164	16
3	Are the faculty inform about your ward regularly	150	30
4	Are you satisfied with the examination system adopted in the institute	171	9
5	Are you satisfied with the quality of teaching offered by the college	162	18

PARENT FEEDBACK REPORT

	Are you satisfied with the student discipline of the college	Does your ward / Institute regularly informs you about performance	Are the faculty inform about your ward regularly	Are you satisfied with the examination system adopted in the institute	Are you satisfied with the quality of teaching offered by the college
YES	180	164	150	171	162
NO	0	16	30	9	18

■ YES   ■ NO

Feedback Coordinator   Dean Academics   Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai Institute code EN 3447

ALUMINI FEEDBACK REPORT ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 EVEN SEM
(14 Responses)

SR. NO.	Question	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair	Poor
1	Math, Science, Humanitiesand professional discipline,(if applicable)	2	6	3	3	0
2	Problem formulation and solving skills	6	2	4	2	0
3	Collecting and analyzing appropriate data	6	1	5	2	0
4	Ability to link theory to practice	3	4	4	2	0
5	Ability to design a system component or process	3	4	5	2	0
6	IT Knowledge	4	4	5	1	0
7	Oral communication	5	2	5	2	0
8	Report writing	4	3	4	3	0
9	Presentation skills	5	2	5	2	0
10	Ability to work in teams	6	1	5	2	0
11	Ability to work in arduous /Challenging situation	4	3	5	2	0
12	Independent thinking	6	1	5	2	0
13	Appreciation of ethical Values	3	3	6	2	0
14	Resource and Time management skills	5	3	4	2	0
15	Judgment	4	3	3	3	0
16	Discipline	3	5	4	2	0

Alumini Feedback Responses

■Excellent ■Very good ■Good ■Fair ■Poor ■Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor ■ ■Excellent ▦Very good ■Good ▨Fair ▨Poor

Feedback Coordinator   Dean Academics   Principal

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Approved by AICTE, Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra & Affiliated to University of Mumbai. Institute code : EN 3447

Students Feedback Report on Curriculum ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19 (ODD SEMESTER)

Sr.No.	Questions	Exellent	Very Good	good	Average	Poor
Q1	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied are in sequence to what you have studied in the previous semester?	17.3	40.1	33.6	8.3	0.7
Q2	How do you rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course?	13.4	36.8	38.6	9.7	1.4
Q3	How do you rate the sequence of the units in the course?	17	32.1	40.4	9.7	0.7
Q4	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	16.2	33.9	41.9	7.2	0.7
Q5	How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the	13	35	35	14.8	2.2
Q6	How do you rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course?	16.6	31.8	37.2	12.3	2.2
Q7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?	16.2	30.3	39	11.9	2.5
Q8	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialization streams?	15.9	32.1	37.2	11.9	2.9
Q9	Technological advancements?	17.3	31.4	38.3	8.7	4.3
Q10	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?	14.4	31.8	34.7	14.8	4.3
Q11	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student	13.4	24.5	43.3	14.1	4.7

Students Feedback on Curriculum

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11
■ Exellent	17.3	13.4	17	16.2	13	16.6	16.2	15.9	17.3	14.4	13.4
■ Very Good	40.1	36.8	32.1	33.9	35	31.8	30.3	32.1	31.4	31.8	24.5
▨ good	33.6	38.6	40.4	41.9	35	37.2	39	37.2	38.3	34.7	43.3
▧ Average	8.3	9.7	9.7	7.2	14.8	12.3	11.9	11.9	8.7	14.8	14.1
■ Poor	0.7	1.4	0.7	0.7	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.9	4.3	4.3	4.7

■ Exellent   ■ Very Good   ▨ good   ▧ Average   ■ Poor

Feedback Coordinator   Dean Academics   Principal

curriculum feedback from student

In order to fulfill your educational, curricular needs, GMVIT would like to get your input about this
,Please help us by completing the following information

* Required

Email address *

Your email

Name of Student: *

Your answer

Department: *

Your answer

SEM: *

Your answer

1.Learning value of syllabus in terms of knowledge ,skills and attitude development *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

2.Relevance of syllabus to practical solutions *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

3.Depth of course contents *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

4.Ease of learning *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

curriculum feedback from parent

In order to fulfill your educational, curricular needs, GMVIT would like to get your input about this ,Please help us by completing the following information

* Required

Name of Student/ward: *

Your answer

Name of Parent: *

Your answer

Department: *

Your answer

SEM: *

Your answer

1.Improvement in the knowledge of my ward after getting admitted to this program *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

2.Degree of positive change after joining this course *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

3.Helpfulness of syllabus to make students employable *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

4.Adaptability of syllabus for incorporating recent changes in the field *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

Suggestion for add or remove the subjects at specific semester if any *

Your answer

Suggestion Regarding Certifications relevant for getting good placement and acceptance in industry *

Your answer

SUBMIT

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

Google Forms

Curriculum Feedback from Alumni

In order to fulfill your educational, curricular needs, GMVIT would like to get your input about this ,Please help us by completing the following information

* Required

Name of Candidate: *

Your answer

Department: *

Your answer

Name of company/organization: *

Your answer

Year of passing: *

Your answer

Email id: *

Your answer

1.Degree of useful learning for the career given by the syllabus *

  ○ Excellent

  ○ Good

  ○ Aggregate

  ○ Poor

2.Degree of skills developed by syllabus to meet technical challenges in the career *

  ○ Excellent

  ○ Good

  ○ Aggregate

  ○ Poor

3.Improvement in logical thinking,problem solving and interpersonal skills,imparted by syllabus *

  ○ Excellent

  ○ Good

  ○ Aggregate

  ○ Poor

4.Inculcation of social and ethical values by the syllabus content

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

Suggestion for add or remove the subjects at specific semester if any *

Your answer

Suggestion Regarding Certifications relevant for getting good placement and acceptance in industry *

Your answer

SUBMIT

Never submit passwords through Google Forms

Google Forms

CURRICULUM FEEDBACK FROM FACULTY

In order to fulfill your educational, curricular needs, GMVIT would like to get your input about this. Please help us by completing the following information

* Required

1. **Name of Faculty:** *

2. **Email id:** *

3. **Mobile No:** *

4. **Department:** *

5. **1.Adequacy of syllabus to cope up with recent trends in Technology** *
   Mark only one oval

   - Excellent
   - Good
   - Aggregate
   - Poor

6. **2.Coverage of Fundamentals specific to program** *
   Mark only one oval

   - Excellent
   - Good
   - Aggregate
   - Poor

7. **3.Syllabus is designed in a way to improve employ ability index** *
   Mark only one oval

   - Excellent
   - Good
   - Aggregate
   - Poor

Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

2.Coverage of Fundamentals specific to program *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

3.Syllabus is designed in a way to improve employ ability index *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

4.Degree to which syllabus inculcates social and ethical values *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

Short answer text

6.Suggestion Regarding Certifications relevant for getting good placement and acceptance in industry *

Short answer text

Title

Description (optional)

Curriculum feedback form from Employer

In order to fulfill your educational, curricular needs, GMVIT would like to get your input about this ,Please help us by completing the following information

* Required

1. **Name of Employer: ***

2. **Name of Employees: ***

3. **Name of Company/Organization: ***

4. **Department: ***

5. **1.Relevance of syllabus to develop skills to solve real life problems ***
   Mark only one oval.

   ◯ Excellent

   ◯ Good

   ◯ Aggregate

   ◯ Poor

6. **2.Role of syllabus to improve employability index ***
   Mark only one oval.

   ◯ Excellent

   ◯ Good

   ◯ Aggregate

   ◯ Poor

7. **3.Degree to which syllabus inculcates social and ethical values ***
   Mark only one oval.

   ◯ Excellent

   ◯ Good

   ◯ Aggregate

   ◯ Poor

Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

2.Role of syllabus to improve employability index *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

3.Degree to which syllabus inculcates social and ethical values *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

4.Degree to which syllabus imparts life skills *

○ Excellent

○ Good

○ Aggregate

○ Poor

Suggestion for add or remove the subjects at specific semester if any *

Your answer

Suggestion Regarding Certifications relevant for getting good placement and acceptance in industry *

Your answer

SUBMIT

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

Google Forms

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TALA
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING
IA MARKSHEET
SECOND HALF - 2021

CLASS : BE SEMESTER : VII Marks: 20
SUBJECT :- Mobile Communication & Computing Min. Marks: 08

SR. NO	EXAM SEAT NO	NAME OF THE STUDENT	IA I MARKS	IA II MARKS	AVERAGE MARKS	AVERAGE MARKS IN WORDS
1		BELOSE OM MAHESH	16	16	16	ONE SIX
2		BHAGAT SHREYASH RAVINDRA	18	16	17	ONE SEVEN
3		BHOSTEKAR AMOL AJAY	14	16	15	ONE FIVE
4		/CHANDORKAR NIDHI ARUN	20	20	20	TWO ZERO
5		/CHOGLE TAZEEN AKIL	20	19	20	TWO ZERO
6		/DANDEKAR DHANSHRI VISHWAMBHAR	18	18	18	ONE EIGHT
7		DESHPANDE SATYEN SUNIL	19	16	18	ONE EIGHT
8		DHARVE SAROJ PRABHAKAR	19	18	19	ONE NINE
9		DIWAN BURHAN FAROOQUE	15	17	16	ONE SIX
10		/DOLAS RIYA RAJESH	20	18	19	ONE NINE
11		/FANASMIYA AMAL INTEKHAB A	18	18	18	ONE EIGHT
12		/GONJI RIDDHI PRAKASH	20	18	19	ONE NINE
13		GOTHAL ABHISHEK	14	AB	7	ZERO SEVEN
14		GOTHAL SHAILESH SHASHIKANT	20	18	19	ONE NINE
15		JADHAV PRANAY ARVIND	20	19	20	TWO ZERO
16		JADHAV ROHIT RAJENDRA	20	16	18	ONE EIGHT
17		KADAM OMKAR SANTOSH	19	16	18	ONE EIGHT
18		/KATOR KRUNALI RAKESH	20	20	20	TWO ZERO
19		/KHOPKAR DIKSHA ANI	18	18	18	ONE EIGHT
20		KODE AMOL GANESH	19	16	18	ONE EIGHT
21		MHATRE RAJ AVINASH	13	17	15	ONE FIVE
22		MODI GITESH KAILASH	19	19	19	ONE NINE
23		NAKTI SIDDHANT DILIP	19	20	20	TWO ZERO
24		NATALKAR ADITYA PRADEEP	15	15	15	ONE FIVE
25		PATEKAR SAJIT DATTARAM	16	18	17	ONE SEVEN
26		PATIL HARSHAL DNAYNESHWAR	19	17	18	ONE EIGHT
27		/PATIL JIDNYASA VIKAS	20	17	19	ONE NINE
28		/PAWAR REENA VISHWAS	15	16	16	ONE SIX
29		PHAKADE SURAJ POPAT	13	15	14	ONE FOUR
30		PRASAD POOJA	18	16	17	ONE SEVEN
31		/RATWADKAR PALLAVI PRAVIN	19	20	20	TWO ZERO
32		SALVI ABHISHEK	19	17	18	ONE EIGHT
33		SANAS SWARAJ DATTATRAY	19	18	19	ONE NINE
34		/SHAHAPURKAR KASTURI NANDKUMAR	20	19	19	ONE NINE
35		SHARMA JAYESH DUDHNATH	20	18	19	ONE NINE
36		SHARMA MANOJ PHOTOSINGH	19	18	19	ONE NINE
37		SHESHWARE NIRNAY NETAJI NIYATI	16	16	16	ONE SIX
38		SHINDE SARTHAK MAHENDRA	17	16	17	ONE SEVEN
39		THASAL ABHISHEK YASHWANT	17	16	17	ONE SEVEN
40		WARGE ROHIT SANTOSH	19	19	19	ONE NINE
41		YADAV SANDEEP ARVINDKUMAR	18	18	18	ONE EIGHT
42		/YELKAR ANJALI RAJENDRA	18	20	19	ONE NINE

Subject Incharge 16/11/21

(S. B. More)

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TALA
Department of Computer Engineering
Academic Year 2021-22 (Second Half 2021)

Year / Sem – BE /VII

Subject/ Course – CSC702 Mobile Communication & Computing

List of slow learners and advanced Learners
(Evaluation Cycle 01)

Reference used: Result of Internal **Assessment I** dated **26/08/2021**

Criteria: Below 15 marks slow learner students

SR.NO	SLOW LEARNER NAME	ADVANCED LEARNER NAME
1	BHOSTEKAR AMOL AJAY	BELOSE OM MAHESH
2	GHOTAL ABHISHEKH	BHAGAT SHREYASH RAVINDRA
3	MHATRE RAJ AVINASH	CHANDORKAR NIDHI ARUN
4	PHAKADE SURAJ POPAT	CHOGLE TAZEEN AKIL
5		DANDEKAR DHANSHRI VISHWAMBHAR
6		DESHPANDE SATYEN SUNIL
7		DHARVE SAROJ PRABHAKAR
8		DIWAN BURHAN FAROOQUE
9		DOLAS RIYA RAJESH
10		FANASMIYA AMAL INTEKHAB A
11		GONJI RIDDHI PRAKASH
12		GOTHAL SHAILESH SHASHIKANT
13		JADHAV PRANAY ARVIND
14		JADHAV ROHIT RAJENDRA
15		KADAM OMKAR SANTOSH
16		KATOR KRUNALI RAKESH
17		KHOPKAR DIKSHA ANI
18		KODE AMOL GANESH
19		MODI GITESH KAILASH
20		NAKTI SIDDHANT DILIP
21		NATALKAR ADITYA PRADEEP
22		PATEKAR SAJIT DATTARAM
23		PATIL HARSHAL DNAYNESHWAR
24		PATIL JIDNYASA VIKAS
25		PAWAR REENA VISHWAS
26		PRASAD POOJA
27		RATWADKAR PALLAVI PRAVIN
28		SALVI ABHISHEK

29		SANAS SWARAJ DATTATRAY
30		SHAHAPURKAR KASTURI NANDKUMAR
31		SHARMA JAYESH DUDHNATH
32		SHARMA MANOJ PHOTOSINGH
33		SHESHWARE NIRNAY NETAJI NIYATI
34		SHINDE SARTHAK MAHENDRA
35		THASAL ABHISHEK YASHWANT
36		WARGE ROHIT SANTOSH
37		YADAV SANDEEP ARVINDKUMAR
38		YELKAR ANJALI RAJENDRA
39		
40		
41		
42		

Analysis
(Evaluation Cycle 01)

Total No. of students in class = 42

Total No. of Slow learners = 04   Percentage of slow learners = (04/42) *100 =9.52 %

Total No. of Advanced learners = 38 Percentage of advanced learners = (38/42) *100

= 90.47%

Snehal

SUBJECT INCHARGE

HOD

Year / Sem – BE /VII
Subject/ Course – CSC702 MOBILE COMMUNICATION & COMPUTING

List of Assignments for Advanced Learners

Assignment No.01

Date of Issue: **Date of Submission:**

1. Draw & explain Architecture of GSM.
2. Explain the concept of cell.

Year / Sem – BE /VII
Subject/ Course – CSC702 MOBILE COMMUNICATION & COMPUTING

List of Assignments for Slow Learners

Assignment No.01

Date of Issue: **Date of Submission:**

---

1. Explain different application of mobile computing.
2. Explain Concept of frequency reuse with clustering.
3. Explain Telecommunication generations in detail.
4. Write a short note on antenna & their radiation pattern.

Assignment No.02

Date of Issue: **Date of Submission:**

1. Explain in detail GSM architecture.
2. Explain in short differ algorithm used for authentication & privacy of GSM.
3. List & explain GSM Services.
4. What are the different types of handovers in GSM.   .

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Department of Computer Engineering

A.Y.2021- 22 (Second Half 2021)

Date: 28/08/2021

NOTICE

All the Advance learner students of **BE COMPUTER** are here by informed that **Extra lectures** for the subject (MOBILE COMMUNICATION AND COMPUTING) is scheduled on **29/08/21** online mode

Schedule for the Lectures will be as follows.

SR.NO.	DATE	TIME	TOPIC
01	29/08/21	10:30AM-12:30 PM	GSM
		1:15PM -2:15PM	MOC AND MTC

Attendance for the same is compulsory.

Subject in charge

HOD

Date: 28/08/2021

NOTICE

All the slow learner students of **BE COMPUTER are here by informed that Extra lectures** for the subject (MOBILE COMMUNICATION AND COMPUTING) is scheduled on **29/08/21** through online mode.

Schedule for the Lectures will be as follows.

SR.NO.	DATE	TIME	TOPIC
01	29/08/21	2:15PM-3:15 PM	Concept Of cell
		3:30PM -5:30PM	GSM

Attendance for the same is compulsory. ·

Subject in charge

HOD

G.M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TALA, RAIGAD
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING
Academic Year 2021-22 (Second Half 2021)
Attendance Sheet for Advanced Learners
(Evaluation Cycle 01)

Class : III   Sem : VII   Subject / Course : Mobile Communication and Computing (CSC 702)
Venue: Online   Name of Faculty : Ms.S.B.More

Roll No.	Name of the Student	Lecture No. 1 / Date 29/8/21 / Time 10:30-12:30	Lecture No. 2 / Date 29/8/21 / Time 1:15-2:15
1	BELOSE JAY MAHESH	P	
2	LENGARE SHREYASH RAVINDRA	P	P
3	CHANDURKAR NIDHI ARUN	P	P
4	CHOGLE YAZEEN AKIL	P	P
5	DONGRE SACHHANSHRI VISHWAMBHAR	P	P
6	DESHPANDE SATYEN SUNIL	P	P
7	DHARVE NARM PRABHAKAR	P	P
8	DIVAN RIZWAN FAROOQUE	P	P
9	DOLAS RIYA RAJESH	P	P
10	GANANDHYA AMAL INTEKHAB A	P	P
11	GANJURKOCHI PRAKASH	P	P
12	GOTHAL SHAILESH SHASHIKANT	P	P
13	JADHAV PRANAV ARVIND	P	P
14	JADHAV ROHIT RAJENDRA	P	P
15	KADAM OMKAR SANTOSH	P	P
16	KATOR BHUVALI RAKESH	P	P
17	KHOPKAR DIKSHA ANI	P	P
18	LAMBA GOA GANESH	P	P
19	SARDA GOJ ARKAILASH	P	P
20	SAKPAL SOHAM DILIP	P	P
21	SALUNKHE ADITYA PRADEEP	P	P
22	SATERAO SAHIL BAYYARAM	P	P
23	PATIL HARSHAL BANAYNESHWAR	P	P
24	PATIL JIDVESH VIKAS	P	P
25	PAWAR MEENA VISHWAS	P	P
26	PRAJAPATI RAJA	P	P
27	RATHOD MOTHALLAV UPRAVIN	P	P
28	SALVI ABHISHEK	P	P
29	SANASSV AKALBATT STRAY	P	P
30	SHAHAPURKAR KASTURI NANDKUMAR	P	P
31	SHARMA AYANSH DINNATH	P	P
32	SINGH SHAGPREM SINGH	P	P
33	SHERBEVARE SHINAY NETAJI SIVATI	P	P
34	SHINDE CARTHAK MAHENDRA	P	P
35	THANAL ABHISHEK YASHWANT	P	P
36	VABLE ROHIT SANTOSH	P	P
37	VHAV SANDEEP ARVINDKUMAR	P	P
38	YELKAR SSALI RAJENDRA	P	P

Sd/-
Subject in Charge   HOD

G.M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TALA, RAIGAD

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Academic Year 2021-22 (Second Half 2021)

Attendance Sheet for Slow Learners

(Evaluation Cycle 01)

Class : BE	Sem :VII	Subject / Course : Mobile Communication and Computing (CSC 702)
Venue: Online		Name of Faculty : Ms.S.B.More

Roll No.	Name of the Student	Lecture No.	1	2
		Date	29/8/21	29/8/21
		Time	2.30 -3.15	3.30-5.30
1	BHOSTEKAR AMOL AJAY		P	P
2	GHOTAL ABHISHEKH		P	P
3	MHATRE RAJ AVINASH		AB	P
4	PHAKADE SURAJ POPAT		P	P

Subject in Charge

HOD

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TALA
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING
IA MARKSHEET II
SECOND HALF - 2021

CLASS : BE   SEMESTER : VII   **Marks: 20**

SUBJECT :- Mobile Communication & Computing (CSC702)   **Min. Marks: 08**

SR. NO	NAME OF THE STUDENT	SECTION 1 MCQ					TOTAL MCQ MARKS	SECTION 2 THEORY				TOTAL THEORY MARKS	TOTAL MARKS	MARKS IN WORDS
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			
1	BELOSE OM MAHESH	2	2	2	2	2	10	2			4	6	16	ONE SIX
2	BHAGAT SHREYASH RAVINDRA	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	3	6	16	ONE SIX
3	BHOSTEKAR AMOL AJAY	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	3	6	16	ONE SIX
4	CHANDORKAR NIDHI ARUN	2	2	2	2	2	10			5	5	10	20	TWO ZER
5	CHOGLE TAZEEN AKIL	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	5	9	19	ONE NINE
6	DANDEKAR DHANSHRI VISHWAMBHAR	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	4	8	18	ONE EIGH
7	DESHPANDE SATYEN SUNIL	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	3	6	16	ONE SIX
8	DHARVE SAROJ PRABHAKAR	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	4	8	18	ONE EIGH
9	DIWAN BURHAN FAROOQUE	2	2	2	2	2	10	4			3	7	17	ONE SEVE
10	DOLAS RIYA RAJESH	2	2	2	2	2	10			5	3	8	18	ONE EIGH
11	FANASMIYA AMAL INTEKHAB A	2	2	2	2	2	10	5			3	8	18	ONE EIGH
12	GHOTAL ABHISHEKH					ABSENT								
13	GONJI RIDDHI PRAKASH	2	2	2	2	2	10			5	3	8	18	ONE EIGH
14	GOTHAL SHAILESH SHASHIKANT	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	5	8	18	ONE EIGH
15	JADHAV PRANAY ARVIND	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	5	9	19	ONE NINE
16	JADHAV ROHIT RAJENDRA	2	2	2	2	2	10			2	4	6	16	ONE SIX
17	KADAM OMKAR SANTOSH	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	3	6	16	ONE SIX
18	KATOR KRUNALI RAKESH	2	2	2	2	2	10			5	5	10	20	TWO ZER
19	KHOPKAR DIKSHA ANI	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	4	8	18	ONE EIGH
20	KODE AMOL GANESH	2	2	2	2	2	10	3			3	6	16	ONE SIX
21	MHATRE RAJ AVINASH	2	2	2	2	2	10	4			3	7	17	ONE SEVE
22	MODI GITESH KAILASH	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	5	9	19	ONE NINE
23	NAKTI SIDDHANT DILIP	2	2	2	2	2	10			5	5	10	20	TWO ZERO
24	NATALKAR ADITYA PRADEEP	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	2	5	15	ONE FIVE
25	PATEKAR SAJIT DATTARAM	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	4	8	18	ONE EIGH
26	PATIL HARSHAL DNAYNESHWAR	2	2	2	2	2	10	4			3	7	17	ONE SEVE
27	PATIL JIDNYASA VIKAS	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	3	7	17	ONE SEVE
28	PAWAR REENA VISHWAS	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	3	6	16	ONE SIX
29	PHAKADE SURAJ POPAT	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	2	5	15	ONE FIVE
30	PRASAD POOJA	2	2	2	2	2	10	2		3	3	6	16	ONE SIX
31	RATWADKAR PALLAVI PRAVIN	2	2	2	2	2	10			5	5	10	20	TWO ZERO
32	SALVI ABHISHEK	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	3	7	17	ONE SEVE
33	SANAS SWARAJ DATTATRAY	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	5	8	18	ONE EIGH
34	SHAHAPURKAR KASTURI NANDKUMAR	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	5	9	19	ONE NINE
35	SHARMA JAYESH DUDHNATH	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	4	8	18	ONE EIGH
36	SHARMA MANOJ PHOTOSINGH	2	2	2	2	2	10			4	4	8	18	ONE EIGH
37	SHESHWARE NIRNAY NETAJI NIYATI	2	2	2	2	2	10	3		1	3	6	16	ONE SIX
38	SHINDE SARTHAK MAHENDRA	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	3	6	16	ONE SIX
39	THASAL ABHISHEK YASHWANT	2	2	2	2	2	10		3	1	3	6	16	ONE SIX
40	WARGE ROHIT SANTOSH	2	2	2	2	2	10			5	4	9	19	ONE NINE
41	YADAV SANDEEP ARVINDKUMAR	2	2	2	2	2	10			3	5	8	18	ONE EIGH
42	YELKAR ANJALI RAJENDRA	2	2	2	2	2	10	5			5	10	20	TWO ZERO

Total no of student present: 41

COURSE INCHARGE
Ms.S.B.More

HOD
Prof.K.R.Metha

G. M. VEDAK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TALA
Department of Computer Engineering
Academic Year 2021-22 (Second Half 2021)

Year / Sem – BE /VII

Subject/ Course – CSC702 Mobile Communication & Computing

List of slow learners and advanced Learners
(Evaluation Cycle 02)

Reference used: Result of Internal Assessment II dated 20/10/2021

Criteria: Below 15 marks slow learner students

SR.NO	SLOW LEARNER NAME	ADVANCED LEARNER NAME
1		BELOSE OM MAHESH
2		BHAGAT SHREYASH RAVINDRA
3		BHOSTEKAR AMOL AJAY
4		CHANDORKAR NIDHI ARUN
5		CHOGLE TAZEEN AKIL
6		DANDEKAR DHANSHRI VISHWAMBHAR
7		DESHPANDE SATYEN SUNIL
8		DHARVE SAROJ PRABHAKAR
9		DIWAN BURHAN FAROOQUE
10		DOLAS RIYA RAJESH
11		FANASMIYA AMAL INTEKHAB A
12		GONJI RIDDHI PRAKASH
13		GOTHAL ABHISHEKH
14		GOTHAL SHAILESH SHASHIKANT
15		JADHAV PRANAY ARVIND
16		JADHAV ROHIT RAJENDRA
17		KADAM OMKAR SANTOSH
18		KATOR KRUNALI RAKESH
19		KHOPKAR DIKSHA ANI
20		KODE AMOL GANESH
21		MHATRE RAJ AVINASH
22		MODI GITESH KAILASH
23		NAKTI SIDDHANT DILIP
24		NATALKAR ADITYA PRADEEP
25		PATEKAR SAJIT DATTARAM
26		PATIL HARSHAL DNAYNESHWAR
27		PATIL JIDNYASA VIKAS
28		PAWAR REENA VISHWAS
29		PHAKADE SURAJ POPAT
30		PRASAD POOJA

31		RATWADKAR PALLAVI PRAVIN
32		SALVI ABHISHEK
33		SANAS SWARAJ DATTATRAY
34		SHAHAPURKAR KASTURI NANDKUMAR
35		SHARMA JAYESH DUDHNATH
36		SHARMA MANOJ PHOTOSINGH
37		SHESHWARE NIRNAY NETAJI NIYATI
38		SHINDE SARTHAK MAHENDRA
39		THASAL ABHISHEK YASHWANT
40		WARGE ROHIT SANTOSH
41		YADAV SANDEEP ARVINDKUMAR
42		YELKAR ANJALI RAJENDRA

Analysis
(Evaluation Cycle 02)

Total No. of students in class = 42

Total No. of Slow learners = 0 **Percentage of slow learners = (0/42) *100 =0 %**

Total No. of Advanced learners = 42 **Percentage of advanced learners = (42/42) *100**

= 100%

Sehd
5/11/2

SUBJECT INCHARGE

(S. B. More)

HOD